

The Gazette of India



PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 26] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, JUNE 26, 1954

NOTICE

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published upto the 19th June 1954:—

Issue No.	No. and date	Issued by	Subject
131	S. R. O. 1946, dated the 14th June, 1954.	Ministry of Information and Broadcasting.	Appointment of Sri B. D. Mirchandani as a member of the Central Board of Film Censors.
132	S. R. O. 1947, dated the 16th June, 1954.	Delimitation Commission, India.	Final Order No. 12 in respect of the distribution of seats to, and the delimitation of, Parliamentary constituency in the State of Tripura.
133	S. R. O. 1948, dated the 16th June, 1954.	Ditto.	Final Order No. 11 in respect of the distribution of seats to, and the delimitation of, Parliamentary constituencies in the State of Manipur.
134	S. R. O. 1949, dated the 14th June, 1954.	Election Commission, India.	Designations of officers in the State of Andhra to be the persons to whom a claim or objection in respect of electoral roll, may be presented.
135	S. R. O. 2015, dated the 16th June, 1954.	Ministry of Home Affairs	The Business of the Government of Coorg (Allocation) Rules.
136	S. R. O. 2016, dated the 19th June, 1954.	Election Commission, India.	Amendment made in the notification No. 102/3/51-Elec. II (1), dated the 26th Sept. 1951.

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of this Gazette.

PART II—Section 3**Statutory Rules and Orders issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and Central Authorities (other than the Chief Commissioners).****ELECTION COMMISSION. INDIA***New Delhi, the 18th June, 1954*

S.R.O. 2019.—It is hereby notified for general information that disqualifications under clause (c) of section 7 and section 143 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (XLIII of 1951), incurred by the persons whose names and addresses are given below, as notified under notification No. BR-CS(3)BL, dated the 23th May, 1954, have been removed by the Election Commission in exercise of the powers conferred on it by the said clause and section 144 of the said Act respectively:—

Shri Inaitullah Khwaja, Mahalla-Karimganj, Gaya, Bihar.

Shri Tarkeshwar Pd., Advocate, Salempur Ahra, Patna-3, Bihar.

Shri Zainuddin, Pahsi Lane, Gaya, Bihar.

Shri Jagdeo Prasad, Gaya, Bihar.

[No. BR-CS(4)BL.]

New Delhi, the 21st June 1954

S.R.O. 2020.—It is hereby notified for general information that the disqualifications under clause (c) of section 7 and section 143 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951 (XLIII of 1951), incurred by the person whose name and address are given below, as notified under notification No. PB-CS/BN/54(3), dated the 21st May, 1954, have been removed by the Election Commission in exercise of the powers conferred on it by the said clause and section 144 of the said Act respectively:—

Shri Ram Sarup,
1410, Mauza Ismaila Nau,
Biswa Mazkur, Zail Sampla,
Thana Sampla, Tehsil Rohtak,
District Rohtak,
Punjab.

[No. PB-CS/BN/54(4).]

By Order,

P. N. SHINGHAL, Secy.

MINISTRY OF LAW*New Delhi, the 17th June 1954*

S.R.O. 2021.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 309 of the Constitution, the President hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the rules published with the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Law, No. S.R.O. 1472, dated the 24th September 1951, namely:—

In the Schedule annexed to the said rules, after the entries relating to "Superintendents," the following entries shall be inserted, namely:—

Assistant Superintendents.	General Central Service, Class II.	Election Commission.	Election Commission.	Any of the penalties specified in C.C.A. Rule 49.	President
			Secretary Election Commission	Censure and Suspension	Election Commission.

[No. F.101/50-G.A.]

K. Y. BHANDARKAR, Secy.

New Delhi, the 21st June 1954

S.R.O. 2022.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (1) of article 209 of the Constitution, the President hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Law No. S.R.O. 215, dated the 9th February, 1952, relating to the execution of contracts and assurances of property, namely:—

In Part X of the said notification, under Head B, in item (iv) after the words "by the Director"; the words "or the Registrar" shall be inserted.

([No.F.32-III/52-L.]

B. N. LOKUR, Joint Secy.

MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 17th June 1954

SRO 2023—In exercise of the powers conferred by sections 17 and 27 of the Indian Arms Act 1878 (XI of 1878), the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the Indian Arms Rules, 1951, namely :—

In the table set forth in Schedule I to the said Rules for item 6 and the entries relating thereto the following shall be substituted, namely :—

I	2	3	4
"6. The Officer Commanding a Unit of the Armed Forces or of the Territorial Army, and when he is in possession of a pass granted and signed by his Officer Commanding, every serving member of the Armed Forces.	Such arms and ammunition as are provided for sporting purposes by Government or from a regimental fund under the authority of the Officer Commanding the Unit.	This exemption shall apply in the case of all serving member of the Armed Forces only in respect of weapons and ammunition entered in the pass and to the areas and for the dates specified in the pass and in the case of Officers Commanding only in respect of the purchase and stocking of arms and ammunition which are provided for sporting purposes by Government or from a regimental fund.	Those contained in sections 13 to 15."

[No. 9/45/53/Police (I).]

N. SAHGAL, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

New Delhi, the 10th June 1954

S.R.O. 2024.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of Section 2 of the Diplomatic and Consular Officers (Oaths and Fees) Act, 1948 (XLI of 1948), the Central Government hereby authorises Shri M. D. Shahane, Information Officer, Embassy of India, Buenos Aires, to perform the duties of a Consular Agent with immediate effect and until further orders.

[No. 368-WI.]

I. S. CHOPRA, Joint Secy.

MINISTRY OF STATES*New Delhi, the 18th June 1954*

S. R. O. 2025.—In pursuance of clause (1) of article 239 of the Constitution, the President hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of States, No. 104-J, dated the 24th August, 1950, namely:—

In Schedule I to the said notification, after the entry relating to the Payment of Wages Act, 1936 (IV of 1936), the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

The Criminal Law Amendment Act, 1938 (XX of 1938) .. All.

[No. 76-J.]

J. C. GHOSAL, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FINANCE**(Department of Economic Affairs)***New Delhi, the 21st June 1954*

S.R.O. 2026.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 27 of the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act, 1947 (Act VII of 1947), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendments shall be made in the Foreign Exchange Regulation Rules, 1952, namely:—

In the First Schedule to the Rules,

(1) In Form G.R. 1, Original copy to be submitted to Customs, the entire portion under the title "Notes to Exporters" beginning from "The G.R. Form procedure applies to exports" and ending with "through whom payment for the shipment is being received" shall be substituted by the following:

"(a) The G.R. Form procedure applies to exports to all countries excluding Pakistan, Afghanistan, Nepal, Tibet, Bhutan and French and Portuguese territories in India.

(b) In all cases page 1 must be completed and submitted to Customs in order to obtain the necessary permission to ship the goods. In case of sterling bills on London under credit, i.e., under section 5(c) and also under section 5(e), pages 3, 5 and 7 must also be completed in the same way as page 1 and handed over to the authorised dealer in foreign exchange through whom the bill is negotiated.

“(c) In all other cases, i.e., where the method of obtaining payment for the goods is one of those laid down in section 5(a), (b) or (d), pages 3 and 5 must be completed in the same way as page 1 and handed over to the authorised dealer in foreign exchange through whom payment for the shipment is being received.”

(2) In the Form G.R. 2, Original copy to be submitted to Customs, the entire portion under the title "Notes to Exporters completing Form G.R. 2", the following shall be substituted:

(i) 'In all cases page 1 must be completed and submitted to the Customs in order to obtain necessary permission to ship the goods.'

(ii) 'Where sterling bills are drawn on London against the shipments pages 3, 5 and 7 must also be completed in the same way as page 1 and handed over to the authorised dealer in foreign exchange through whom the bills are negotiated.'

(iii) 'In cases where no bills are drawn but the usual practice of the exporter is to send shipping documents direct to his London Overseas Agents, the following procedure should be adopted:

"Page 3 must be completed and submitted to the Reserve Bank of India together with a copy of the invoice along with the monthly statement of their account with their London Office. Pages 5 and 7 must

be similarly completed and the respective copies forwarded to the London Agents of the exporter by separate mails in order that the London Agents may be in a position to arrange for the certificate on the reverse of the form to be completed and duly submitted."

[No. F.32(1)-EFII/54.]

F. C. DHAUN, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Economic Affairs)

COMPANY LAW

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1954

S.R.O. 2027.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) and the proviso to sub-section (5) of section 244-B of the Indian Companies Act 1913 (VII of 1913), the Central Government hereby appoints the Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Hyderabad, as the officer for the purposes of sub-sections (2) and (5) of the said section.

[No. 23(23)-CL/52.]

B. K. KAUL, Dy. Secy.

CENTRAL BOARD OF REVENUE

ESTATE DUTY

New Delhi, the 14th June 1954

S.R.O. 2028.—In exercise of the powers conferred by the second proviso to sub-section (2) of section 4 of the Estate Duty Act, 1953 (34 of 1953), the Central Board of Revenue hereby directs that, subject to the pecuniary limits specified in the notification of the Central Board of Revenue (No. 5-ED, dated the 4th December 1953, every Income-tax Officer appointed to be an Assistant Controller and posted to the Estate Duty *cum* Income-tax Circle in Ahmedabad and every Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax appointed to be a Deputy Controller and exercising jurisdiction over the said Circle shall perform their functions as Assistant Controller and Deputy Controller respectively in the said Circle to the exclusion of all other Assistant Controllers or Deputy Controllers in respect of the estates of all deceased persons who immediately before their death were being, or would have been, assessed to income-tax, had they derived any income in any Income-tax Circle the headquarters of which lies within the municipal limits of the city of Ahmedabad.

[No. 12.]

R. K. DAS, Secy.

MINISTRY OF COMMERCE AND INDUSTRY

New Delhi, the 19th June 1954

S.R.O. 2029.—The Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, No. S.R.O. 2220 [SC(A)-4(156)], dated the 24th November, 1953, published in the Gazette of India, Part II—Section 3, dated the 5th December, 1953, by virtue of which the Director of Industries and Commerce, Government of Madras, was authorised to exercise within the State of Madras the powers of the Controller under Clause 3(2) of the Iron and Steel (Control of Production and Distribution) Order, 1941, is hereby cancelled.

[No. SC(A)-4(156).]

D. HEJMADI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE

New Delhi, the 23rd June 1954

S.R.O. 2030.—In pursuance of the provisions of sub-clause (3) of clause 1 of the Foodgrains (Licensing and Procurement) Order, 1952 and in partial modification of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of

Food and Agriculture No. S.R.O. 1949, dated the 25th November, 1952, the Central Government hereby directs that the said Order shall apply to the State of Patiala and East Punjab States Union in respect of paddy and rice with effect from the 26th June, 1954.

[No. PYII-652(23).]

S. N. BHALLA, Dy. Secy.

MINISTRY OF HEALTH

New Delhi, the 14th June 1954

S.R.O. 2031.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 33 of the Drugs Act, 1940 (XXIII of 1940), the Central Government, after consultation with the Drugs Technical Advisory Board, hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the Drugs Rules, 1945, the same having been previously published as required by the said section, namely:—

In rule 71 of the said Rules, after the words "licensing authority is satisfied that", the following shall be inserted, namely:—

"Arrangements have been made for the provision and maintenance of an adequate staff and of adequate premises and plant for the proper manufacture, standardisation and storage of the substances in respect of which the licence is to be issued and that".

[No. F.1-7/52-DS.]

S.R.O. 2032.—The following draft of a further amendment in the Drugs Rules, 1945, which it is proposed to make after consultation with the Drugs Technical Advisory Board, in exercise of the powers conferred by sections 12 and 33 of the Drugs Act, 1940 (XXIII of 1940), is published as required by the said sections, for the information of persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration after the 26th September, 1954.

2. Any objection or suggestion which may be received from any person, with respect to the said draft, before the date specified, will be considered by the Central Government.

Draft Amendment

To sub-rule (2) of rule 108 of the said Rules, the following proviso shall be added, namely:—

"Provided that nothing in this sub-rule shall apply to a penicillin suspension in oil and wax."

[No. F.1-9/48-D.]

New Delhi, the 16th June 1954

S.R.O. 2033.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 33 of the Drugs Act, 1940 (XXIII of 1940), the Central Government, after consultation with the Drugs Technical Advisory Board, hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the Drugs Rules, 1945, the same having been previously published as required by the said section, namely:—

In Schedule A to the said Rules, in Form 21 under the heading "Conditions of licence" for condition No. 4, the following condition shall be substituted, namely:—

"4. If the licensee wants to sell, or stock or exhibit for sale, or distribute during the currency of the licence additional products specified in Schedule 'C' but not included in this licence, he shall obtain permission to do so from the Licensing Authority. The permission shall be endorsed on the licence by the Licensing Authority. This licence shall be deemed to extend to the products in respect of which such permission is granted."

[No. F.1-13/52-DS.]

KRISHNA BIHARI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF REHABILITATION**ORDER***New Delhi, the 2nd June 1954*

S.R.O. 2034.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 19 of the Evacuee Interest (Separation) Act, 1951 (LXIV of 1951), the Central Government hereby orders that all cases in respect of composite properties situated in the tehsils of Una and Dasuya of Hoshiarpur District pending before Shri Arjan Singh, Competent Officer, Hoshiarpur shall stand transferred to Shri Arjan Singh Kalsi, Competent Officer, Jullundur.

[No. 52(10)/53-Prop.I.]

L. B. MATHUR, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF RAILWAYS**(Railway Board)***New Delhi, the 16th June 1954*

S.R.O. 2035.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 47 of the Indian Railways Act, 1890 (IX of 1890), read with the notification of the Government of India in the late department of Commerce and Industry, No. 801, dated the 24th March 1905, the Railway Board hereby directs that the following further amendment shall be made in the General Rules for all open lines of Railways in India administered by the Government, published with the notification of the Government of India in the late Railway Department (Railway Board) No. 1078-T, dated the 9th March 1929, namely:—

In the Schedule to Part III of the said Rules—

1. Under the heading "II-Dangerous, Corrosive and Poisonous Chemicals" Serial No. 21A and the entries relating thereto shall be omitted.
- 2 Under the heading "III-Miscellaneous Dangerous Articles", after Serial No. 54A the following Serial No. and entries shall be inserted, namely:—

1	2	3	4
54B.	Zinc phosphide .	Air-tight tins in cases, Drums (1) All packages must be jars or bottles in cases or labelled in bold letters as under :—	
			"Zinc Phosphide, Dangerous if not kept dry. The contents of this package are liable, if brought into contact with moisture, to give off a spontaneously inflammable gas."
			(2) Must not be loaded in covered vans, except when it is necessary to load with other goods and the use of a covered van cannot be conveniently avoided.
			(3) Must not be placed in any of the Railway enclosed sheds or warehouses.
			(4) The packages must be protected by water roof-sheets.
			(5) Must not be carried in the brake van of passenger trains."

[No. 1344-TG.]

RANJIT SINGH,
Director, Traffic (Transportation),

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORT

(Transport Wing)

New Delhi, the 15th June 1954

S.R.O. 2036.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (g) of sub-section (2) of section 52 of the Delhi Road Transport Authority Act, 1950 (XIII of 1950), the Central Government hereby makes the following rules, namely:—

1. **Short title.**—These rules may be called the Delhi Road Transport Authority (Budget Form) Rules, 1950.

2. **Definitions.**—In these rules, unless the context otherwise requires:—

(a) "Authority" means the Delhi Road Transport Authority.

(b) "The Act" means the Delhi Road Transport Authority Act, 1950 (XIII of 1950).

3. **Form of Budget.**—The form in which the budget shall be prepared and furnished under sub-section (1) of section 37 of the Act shall be as in the following annexure.

ANNEXURE

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY.

*Budget estimates of the Delhi Road Transport Authority for the year.....
containing actuals for (previous year) and the Budget and the
Revised Estimates for (Current Year).*

Form No.	Particulars	Page No.
1.	Summary of Revenue and Expenditure (Bus Wing).	
2.	Estimates of Revenue (Bus Wing).	
3.	Estimates of Revenue Receipts (Income) (Bus Wing).	
4.	Explanatory statement indicating the basis of estimates of Traffic Earnings (Bus Wing).	
5.	Explanatory statement in respect of estimates of Revenue Expenditure (Bus Wing).	
6.	Estimates of Capital Expenditure (Bus Wing).	
7.	Note on the programme of expenditure on Capital Account (Bus Wing).	
8.	Statement of details of provision for pay and allowances etc. of officers and establishment (Bus Wing).	
9.	Summary of Revenue and Expenditure (Tramways Wing).	
10.	Estimates of Revenue (Tramways Wing).	
11.	Estimates of Revenue Receipts (Income) (Tramways Wing).	
12.	Explanatory statement indicating the basis of estimates of Traffic Earnings (Tramways Wing).	
13.	Explanatory statement in respect of estimates of Revenue Expenditure (Tramways Wing).	
14.	Estimates of Capital Expenditure (Tramways Wing).	
15.	Statement of details of provision for pay and allowances etc. of officers and establishment (Tramways Wing).	
16.	Statement of Expenditure (Stores and Equipment).	
17.	Schedule of new items of expenditure other than works and schemes.	
18.	Schedule of works and schemes in progress.	
19.	Schedule of new works and schemes.	
20.	Statement showing the basis for P.O.L.	
21.	Explanation of variations between the Budget and the Revised Estimates (Current year).	
22.	Explanation for variation between the Revised Estimates (Current year) and the Budget Estimates (next year).	

FORM No. 2

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (BUS WING)

Statement of Revenue Budget

Revised Estimates for _____ and Budget Estimates for _____

Particulars	Actuals as per Provisional Profit and Loss Account for _____	Budget Estimates for _____	Revised Budget Estimates for _____	Budget Estimates for _____	Difference between Columns 4 and Column 2	Difference between Columns 2 and 3	Difference between Columns 5 and 2	Difference between Columns 5 and 4
I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

FORM No. 3

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (BUS WING)

Statement of revenue receipts (Income)

Revise estimates for _____ and Budget estimates for _____

Particulars	Actuals	Budget estimates for _____	Revised estimates for _____	Budget estimates for _____
1	2	3	4	5

FORM No. 4

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (BUS WING)

Statement indicating the basis of estimates of "Traffic earning"

FORM No. 5

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (BUS WING)

Statement of Revenue Expenditure

Revised Estimates for _____ and Budget Estimates for _____

Head of Account	Budget Estimates for _____	Actual expenditure booked from 1st April to 30th Novem- ber	Estimates for remaining 4 months from 1st December to 31st March	Revised Estimates for _____ (Total of Cols. 3 and 4).	Budget Estimates for _____
	2	3	4	5	6

FORM No. 5—*contd.*

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (BUS WING)

Explanatory notes on the revised estimates for _____

and Budget estimates for _____

FORM No. 6

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (BUS WING)

Statement of Capital Expenditure

Revised Estimates for _____, and Budget Estimates for _____

(All figures are in lakhs of rupees)

S. No.	Head of Account	Actuals for _____	Budget Estimates for _____	As proposed		As recommended by the Sub-Committee	
				R.E.	B. E.	R.E.	B.E.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	<i>Ways and means</i>						

FORM No. 7

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (BUS WING)

Note on the programme of expenditure on Capital Account during the years.....
and.....

FORM No. 8
DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (BUS WING)
Statement showing details of Expenditure on Establishment
Revised Budget Estimates

(A) Administration

Category of posts	Scale of pay	No. of posts	Monthly rate as on 1-12					Total monthly					Total	Total for 4 months	Remarks
			Pay	D.A.	H.A.	C.A.	Other	Pay	D.A.	H.A.	C.A.	Other			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15 ¹	16

FORM No. 8—contd.
DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (BUS WING)
Statement showing increments due to Staff from—

Category of post	Scale of Pay	No. of posts	Pay	DA	RATES			ACTUAL MONTHLY INCREMENT							1 No. of months	Pay	INCREMENT FROM 1-7 to 31-3				
					HA	CA	Other	Pay	DA	HA	CA	Other	Total	DA			HA	CA	Other	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	

FORM No. 8—*contd.*

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (BUS WING)
 Statement showing details of expenditure on establishment
 Budget Estimates—

(A) Administration

Category of post	Scale of pay	No. of post	Total Monthly					Total	Remarks
			Pay	D.A.	H.A.	C.A.	Other		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

FORM No. 9

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (TRAMWAYS WING)

* Revised Estimates for ——— and Budget estimates for ———

Particulars	Actuals as per provisional profit and loss Account—	Budget Estimates	Revised Estimates	Budget Estimates ———
1	2	3	4	5

FORM No. 10

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (TRAMWAY WING)

Statement showing estimates of Revenue

Revised Estimates for _____ and Budget Estimates for _____

Particulars	Actuals as per provisional profits and loss account	Budget Estimaates	Revised Estimates	Budget Estimates	Difference between Cols. 4 & 2	Difference between Cols. 4 & 3	Differnce between Cols. 5 & 2	Difference between Cols. 5 & 4
I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

FORM No. 11

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (TRAMWAY WING)

Statement of Revenue Receipts (Income)

Revised estimates for _____ and Budget Estimates for _____

Particulars	Actuals as per provisional profit and loss account	Budget Estimate for _____	Revised estimates for _____	Budget Estimates for _____
I	2	3	4	5

FORM No. 12

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (TRAMWAY WING)

Basis for Statement of Income (Traffic earnings)

Revised Estimates for _____ and Budget Estimates for _____

FORM No. 13

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (TRAMWAY WING)

Explanatory notes on the Revised Budget Estimates for _____
and Budget Estimates for _____

FORM NO. 14

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (TRAMWAY WING)

Statement of Capital Expenditure

Revised Estimates for _____ and Budget Estimates for _____

Particulars	Actual for _____	Budget Estimates for _____	Revised Estimates for _____	Budget Estimates for _____	Remarks
I	2	3	4	5	6

FORM No. 15

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (TRAMWAYS WING)

Statement of Expenditure (Pay and Allowances of Establishment)

Revised Estimates for _____ and Budget Estimates for _____

Particulars	Actuals as per provisional Profit and Loss Account	Actual for the 1st 6 months of _____	Estimates for remaining 6 months of _____	Revised Estimates for for _____ Total of Cols. (3) and (4)	Budget Estimates for _____
I	2	3	4	5	6

FORM No. 15—*contd.*

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY (TRAMWAYS WING)

Basis for Statement of Expenditure (Pay and Allowances)

Revised Estimates for _____ and Budget Estimates for _____

Particulars	Revised Estimates for		Budget Estimates for	
	Details	Total	Details	Total
I	2	3	4	5

FORM No. 16

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

Basis for Statement of Expenditure (Stores and Equipment)

Revised Estimates for _____ and Budget Estimates for _____

FORM No. 16—contd.

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

Statement of Expenditure (Consumption of Stores and Equipment)

Revised Estimates for _____ and Budget Estimates for _____

Particulars	Actuals as per provisional profit and loss account of _____	Actual for the 1st 6 months of _____	Estimates for remaining 6 months of _____	Revised Estimates for _____ Total of Cols. (3) and (4)	Budget Estimates for _____
1	2	3	4	5	6

FORM No. 17

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

Schedule of New Items of Expenditure other than Works and Schemes

Serial No.	Head of Account	Particulars of items	Amounts proposed	Explanation
1	2	3	4	5

FORM No. 18

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

Schedule of Works and Schemes in progress

Name of work or scheme	Total estimated cost	Anticipated expenditure to the end of current year	Future Liability	Provision made in next year's budget
1	2	3	4	5

FORM No. 19

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

Schedule of New Works and Schemes

Name of Work or Scheme	Total estimated cost	Provision proposed in next year's budget
1	2	3

NOTE.—The Authority may open such suitable detailed heads of amount under the various minor heads of accounts as may be considered necessary.

FORM No. 20

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

*Statement showing the Basis for Petrol, Oil and Lubricants for Revised Estimates for*_____

FORM No. 20—contd.

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

*Statement showing the Basis for Petrol, Oil and Lubricants for Budget Estimates for*_____

FORM No. 21

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

Explanation for Variations

- (1) Between Revised Estimates for_____and Actuals for_____.
- (2) Between the Revised Estimates for_____ and Budget Estimates for_____.

FORM No. 22

DELHI ROAD TRANSPORT AUTHORITY

Explanation for Variations

- (1) Between Budget Estimates for_____and Actuals for_____.
- (2) Budget Estimates for _____and Revised Estimates for _____.

[No. 51-TAG(42)/50.]

R. S. BAHL, Under Secy.

Transport Wing*New Delhi, the 17th June 1954*

S.R.O. 2037.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 35 of the Indian Ports Act, 1908 (XV of 1908), the Central Government hereby directs that the following further amendments shall be made in the notification of the former Government of Cochin Public Works Department No. 4 dated the 27th August, 1941, relating to fees chargeable for certain services rendered to vessels at the port of Cochin, namely:—

In the said Notification, under the heading "Fees for overtime work", in clause 1, for the existing items (i), (ii) and (iii) the following items shall be substituted, namely:—

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| <p>(i) Work during recess hours by day including Sundays and holidays.</p> <p>(ii) Night work including work during recess hours by night per hour or part thereof.</p> | } | <p>Rs. 5/- per staging port-hole or hook (crane, derrick).</p> |
|---|---|--|

NOTE.—When the working of a vessel is interrupted or delayed for Port's convenience, fees shall be levied only for the hours actually worked, fractions of an hour in the total being calculated as one hour.

(iii)

- | | | |
|--|---|---|
| <p>(a) For half working day (8 a.m. to 12 noon or 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. or part thereof or for half working night (6 p.m. to 10 p.m. or 11 p.m. to 3 a.m.) or part thereof.</p> <p>(b) For over half working day or half working night or any one day including recess hours.</p> | } | <p>Rs. 50/- per vessel.</p>

<p>Rs. 100/- per vessel.</p> |
|--|---|---|

NOTE.—The charges mentioned in item (iii) above will be in addition to the night work charge specified in item (ii) above.

[No. 6-PH(46)/54.]

A. V. SUBRAMANIA IYER, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF PRODUCTION*New Delhi, the 4th June 1954*

S.R.O. 2038.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Salt Cess Act, 1953 (49 of 1953), the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment shall be made in the rules published with the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Production No. S.R.O. 2379, dated the 29th December, 1953 namely:—

In clause (i) of rule (2) of the said Rules, the words "from India" shall be substituted for the words "to Japan".

[No. 9(4)/54.Fy.II.]

P. V. V. SARMA, Under Secy.

ORDER*New Delhi, the 23rd June 1954*

S.R.O. 2039.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Essential Supplies (Temporary Powers) Act, 1946 (XXIV of 1946), the Central Government hereby directs that, subject to any general or special order made by the Central Government, the power to make orders under section 3 of the said Act in respect of the clauses of sub-section (2) of the said section 3, specified in column (2) of the Schedule annexed hereto, shall, in respect of coal supplies received within the State of Uttar Pradesh from time to time against the quotas fixed by the Central Government for detailed allocation by the State Government

of Uttar Pradesh, be exercisable also by the officers who are subordinate to the State Government of Uttar Pradesh, specified in the corresponding entries in column (1) of that Schedule, in relation to the matters specified in the corresponding entries in column (3) of that Schedule.

THE SCHEDULE

(1) Officers	(2) Clause of sub- section (2) of section 3	(3) Matters
All District Magistrates in the State of Uttar Pradesh.	(c)	Controlling the price at which coal may be sold.
The Provincial Iron and Steel Controller, U.P., Kanpur.	(f)	Requiring any person holding stock of— (i) soft coke, hard coke and steam coal, to sell the whole or a specified part of the stock for domestic consumption; and (ii) coal dust and slack coal to sell the whole or a specified part of the stock for burning bricks.
The Director of Cottage Industries, U.P., Kanpur	(f)	Requiring any person holding stock of coal, to sell the whole or a specified part of the stock for industrial purposes.

[No. ESS.Supp./Coal-4/AM(3).]

A. NANU, Dy. Secy.

REGISTRAR JOINT STOCK COMPANIES

NOTICE

Salem, the 7th June 1954

FORM V.

NOTICE PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5)

In the matter of the Rasipuram Lakshmi Corporation Ltd., Rasipuram.

S.R.O. 2040.—With reference to the notice dated 11th February 1954 published in page 430 of Part II of the *Gazette of India* dated 27th February 1954 the above company not having shown cause to the contrary within the time fixed the name of the company has under section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act 1913 been struck off the register:

A. J. AZARIAH,

z z Asstt. Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Salem.

Nagapattinam, the 9th June 1954

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5).

In the matter of the Indian Companies, Act, 1913 and The Malaya Travel Service Limited.

S.R.O. 2041.—With reference to the Notice dated 2nd March 1954 published in page 561 of Part II, Section 3 of the *Gazette of India* dated 20th March 1954, the

above company not having shown cause to the contrary within the time fixed, the name of the company, has under Section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 been struck off the Register.

M. ETHERAJULU NAIDU,
Asstt. Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Nagapattinam.

Palayamkottai, the 9th June 1954

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and The New India Ice and Cold Storage Limited.

S.R.O. 2042.—With reference to the notice dated 13th February 1954 published on page 431, Part II, Section 3, of the *Gazette of India* dated 27th February 1954, the Company not having shown cause to the contrary, within the time fixed, the name of the Company, has, under section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, been struck off the register.

[No. 187K.]

M. SYED QUADIR,
Asstt. Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Palayamkottai.

Shillong, the 10th June 1954

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and in the matter of the Pioneer Printing Works Limited, Gauhati.

S.R.O. 2043.—Notice is hereby given pursuant to section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 that at the expiration of three months from this date the name of the Pioneer Printing Works Ltd., P.O. Gauhati, Assam, will, unless cause is shown to the contrary, be struck off the Register of Companies and the Company will be dissolved on the ground that it is not carrying on any business and is not in operation.

[No. 675—77J.S.]

N. N. CHAKRAVARTY,
Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Assam.

Bombay, the 11th June 1954

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, VII of 1913 and of the Munjee Nathoobhoy Provident Society Limited

S.R.O. 2044.—Notice is hereby given that by an order of the High Court of Judicature at Bombay, dated 22nd March 1954, the name of the Munjee Nathoobhoy Provident Society Limited was restored to the Register of Companies and pursuant to section 247(6) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, the said Munjee Nathoobhoy Provident Society Limited is to be deemed to have continued in existence as if its name had not been struck off.

[No. 3624.]

Bombay, the 15th June 1954

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, VII of 1913 and of the Kamala Chitra Limited

S.R.O. 2045.—Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 247 of the Indian Companies Act VII of 1913, that at the expiration of three months from the date hereof the name of the Kamala Chitra Limited will, unless cause is shown to the contrary be struck off the Register and the said Company will be dissolved.

[No. 6387]

T. J. GONDHALEKAR,
Registrar of Companies, Bombay.

Madras, the 12th June 1954

NOTICE PURSUANT TO SECTION 172(2)

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and Manjappara Estates Limited

S.R.O. 2046.—By an order dated, the Eleventh day of August 1952, in O. P. No. 205 of 1951 of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, "Manjappara Estates Limited" was ordered to be wound up by the said court, under the provisions of the Indian Companies Act, 1913.

[No. K. 1991/51.]

NOTICE PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5)

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and P. Chonga Reddy and Company Limited

S.R.O. 2047.—With reference to the notice dated 27th January, 1954 published on page 358 of Part II, section 3, of the Gazette of India, dated 13th February, 1954, the above company not having shown cause to the contrary within the time fixed, the name of the company has, under section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, been struck off the register.

[C. 378/54.]

V. V. RANGANATHAN,

Asstt. Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Madras City.

Sambalpur, the 12th June 1954

In the matter of Indian Companies Act, 1913 and in the matter of Utkal Jute and Textile Mills Limited

S.R.O. 2048.—Whereas a notice in pursuance of section 247(1) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, addressed to above named company to its registered office at Barang, Cuttack having remained unanswered, it appears that the company is neither carrying on business nor is in operation,

Notice is hereby given pursuant to section 247(2) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, that if no answer is received within one month from the date of publication of this notice in the official gazette, a notice will be published in the official gazette with a view to striking the name of the company off the register.

[No. 826-Jsc.85/54.]

Sambalpur, the 17th June 1954

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and the Oriental Veterinary Pharmacy Ltd.

S.R.O. 2049.—Whereas notices pursuant to Section 247(1) and (2) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913, addressed to above named Company to its registered office at Bolangir having remained unanswered, it appears that the Company is neither carrying on business nor is in operation.

Notice is hereby given in pursuance of section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 that at the expiry of three months from the date of receipt of this notice, the name of the Company will be struck off the register unless cause is shown to the contrary.

[No. 657/J.S.C.158/54.]

S. N. MISRA,

Assistant Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Orissa.

Rajkot, the 14th June 1954

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(3)

In the matter of Indian Companies Act, VII of 1913 and The Saurashtra publications Ltd.

S.R.O. 2050.—With reference to the Notice dated 16th February 1954 published in the *Gazette of India* the above company, not having shown cause to the contrary within the time fixed, the name of the Company has, under Section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act 1913, been struck off the Register.

[No. RC/111/48.]

V. V. BAXI,

Registrar of Companies, Saurashtra, Rajkot.

Patna, the 14th June 1954

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act VII of 1913 and Vaishali Films Corporation Ltd.

S.R.O. 2051.—Whereas the Vaishali Films Corporation Ltd., Muzaffarpur was duly served with a notice dated the 27th January, 1954 under section 247(3) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 but has for a period of three months failed to show cause why its name should not be struck off the register kept in this office, I do hereby give notice under section 247(5) of the Act that the name of the said company has, this day been struck off the register and the company is dissolved.

[No. 349.]

S. P. SINHA,

Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Bihar.

Tanjore, the 17th June 1954

NOTICE PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5)

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and The Oriental Finance Limited

S.R.O. 2052.—With reference to the notice dated 26th February 1954 published on page 520 of Part II, section 3 of the Gazette of India dated 13th March 1954, the above company not having shown cause to the contrary within the time fixed, the name of the company has, under section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act 1913, been struck off the register.

T. R. SWAMINATHAN,

Assistant Registrar of Joint Stock Companies.

Madurai, the 17th June 1954

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF THE INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 and Tamilnad Talented Artists' Corporation (Madurai) Limited

S.R.O. 2053.—With reference to the notice, dated 23rd February 1954 published on page 517 of Part II Section 3, Gazette of India, dated 13th March 1954, the above Company not having shown cause to the contrary within the time fixed, the name of the Company has under Section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913 been struck off the register and the said Company is dissolved.

J. MUTHUVIRASWAMI NAYUDU,

Assistant Registrar of Joint Stock Companies, Madurai.

Patiala, the 19th June 1954

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913.

In the matter of Patiala Motors Ltd., Patiala.

S.R.O. 2054.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said Patiala Motors Ltd. Patiala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of Jai Bharat Company Ltd., Jaiju

S.R.O. 2055.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said Jai Bharat Company Ltd., Jaiju is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The PEPSU Roadways Ltd., Patiala

S.R.O. 2056.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The PEPSU Roadways Ltd., Patiala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Patiala Union Transport Co. Ltd., Patiala

S.R.O. 2057.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Patiala Union Transport Co. Ltd., Patiala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of Agents and Financiers Ltd., Kapurthala

S.R.O. 2058.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said Agents and Financiers Ltd., Kapurthala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Kapurthala State Tanneries Ltd., Kapurthala

S.R.O. 2059.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Kapurthala

State Tanneries Ltd., Kapurthala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Bharat Transport and General Co. Ltd., Patiala

S.R.O. 2060.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Bharat Transport and General Co. Ltd., Patiala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913.

In the matter of The Malerkotla Beopar Bhandar Co. Ltd., Malerkotla

S.R.O. 2061.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Malerkotla Beopar Bhandar Co. Ltd., Malerkotla is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Kapurthala Oil Mills Ltd., Kapurthala

S.R.O. 2062.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Kapurthala Oil Mills Ltd., Kapurthala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Kapurthala Industries Ltd., Kapurthala

S.R.O. 2063.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Kapurthala Industries Ltd., Kapurthala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Kapurthala Corporation Ltd., Kapurthala

S.R.O. 2064.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Kapurthala Corporation Ltd., Kapurthala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of M/s. Anvers Ltd., Kapurthala

S.R.O. 2065.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said M/s. Anvers Ltd., Kapurthala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of M/s. The Malerkotla Electric Light and Power Co. Ltd.,
Malerkotla

S.R.O. 2066.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said M/s. The Malerkotla Electric Light and Power Co. Ltd., Malerkotla is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however, the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Bharat Trading Company Ltd., Phagwara

S.R.O. 2067.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Bharat Trading Company Ltd., Phagwara is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Modern Pharmaceutical Works Ltd., Kapurthala

S.R.O. 2068.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Modern Pharmaceutical Works Ltd., Kapurthala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Model Industries Corporation Ltd., Mandi Phul

S.R.O. 2069.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Model Industries Corporation Ltd., Mandi Phul is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The National Pharma and Co. Ltd., Jagatjitnagar

S.R.O. 2070.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The National Pharma and Co. Ltd., Jagatjitnagar is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Public Service Traders Ltd., Dhilwan

S.R.O. 2071.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Public Service Traders Ltd., Dhilwan is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Ramgaria Tract Society, Phagwara

S.R.O. 2072.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Ramgaria Tract Society, Phagwara is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Ramgaria Engineering Co. Ltd., Phagwara

S.R.O. 2073.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Ramgaria Engineering Co. Ltd., Phagwara is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of Jagatjit Industrial Corporation Ltd., Kapurthala

S.R.O. 2074.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said Jagatjit Industrial Corporation Ltd., Kapurthala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Patiala Textile (Mng. Agents) Ltd., Doraha

S.R.O. 2075.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Patiala Textile (Mng. Agents) Ltd., Doraha is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Patiala Farms Ltd., Patiala

S.R.O. 2076.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Patiala Farms Ltd., Patiala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Yarn Manufacturers Ltd., Patiala

S.R.O. 2077.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Yarn Manufacturers Ltd., Patiala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Filmsaz Ltd., Patiala

S.R.O. 2078.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Filmsaz Ltd., Patiala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Patiala Cloth Hosiery and General Mills Co. Ltd., Doraha

S.R.O. 2079.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Patiala Cloth Hosiery and General Mills Co. Ltd., Doraha is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Ganesh Co. Ltd., Narnaul

S.R.O. 2080.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Ganesh Co. Ltd., Narnaul is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of The Eastern Merchantile Co. Ltd., Narnaul

S.R.O. 2081.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said The Eastern Merchantile Co. Ltd., Narnaul is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of M/s. Mukand Singh and Sons Ltd., Patiala

S.R.O. 2082.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said M/s. Mukand Singh and Sons Ltd., Patiala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of M/s. B. R. General Sports Manufacturers Ltd., Patiala

S.R.O. 2083.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said M/s. B. R. General Sports Manufacturers Ltd., Patiala is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

PURSUANT TO SECTION 247(5) OF INDIAN COMPANIES ACT, 1913

In the matter of M/s. Prem Engineering Works Ltd., Raman

S.R.O. 2084.—Whereas the above noted company is believed not to be carrying on business or in operation and notices under sub-sections (1) and (3) of section 247 of the Companies Act have been duly served on them; and

Whereas the time limit of the aforesaid notices have since expired and no cause to the contrary has been shown, the name of the said M/s. Prem Engineering Works Ltd., Raman is struck off the Registrar of Companies in this office in pursuance of section 247(5) of the Indian Companies Act, 1913; and

The Company shall, on publication of the notice in the official gazette, be dissolved, provided however the liability if any, of every director and member of the Company, shall continue and may be enforced as if the company had not been dissolved.

Issued this 16th day of June, 1954.

AMRIT LAL, Registrar.
Joint Stock Companies, PEPSU, Patiala

MINISTRY OF LABOUR

New Delhi, the 16th June 1954

S.R.O. 2085.—In pursuance of section 27 of the Mines Act, 1952 (XXXV of 1952), the Central Government hereby publishes the report submitted to it under sub-section (4) of section 24 of the said Act by the Court of Inquiry appointed to hold an inquiry into the causes of, and circumstances attending the accident which occurred in the Pure Chirimiri Colliery, on the 15th May, 1953.

No. 64/ST

AMBIKAPUR,
Dated, the 4th February, 1954.

From

Shri N. Sundaram, I.A.S.,
Chairman, Court of Inquiry and District
Magistrate, Surguja District, Ambikapur, (M.P.).

To

The Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Labour, New Delhi.

SUBJECT.—*Report of the Court of Inquiry into the causes of and circumstances attending the accident which occurred at Pure Chirimiri Colliery owned by the Central India Coalfields Ltd. on the 15th May 1953.*

Sir,

In the Government of India, Ministry of Labour letter No. M-45(4)53, dated the 28th November 1953, I was appointed with the concurrence of the Government of Madhya Pradesh to hold an inquiry under Section 24 of the Mines Act, 1952 into the causes of and circumstances attending, the accident which occurred on the 15th May 1953 at the Pure Chirimiri Colliery, and Mr. N. Barraclough, C.B.E., Chief Inspector of Mines in India, Shri M. S. Dhadha, Secretary, Pure Chirimiri Colliery, and Shri R. L. Malviya, President, Chhattisgarh Colliery Workers Federation, were appointed as assessors for the purpose of the inquiry; and in pursuance thereof, submit the following report:

Yours faithfully,
(Sd.) N. SUNDARAM, *Chairman*.

I. INTRODUCTORY.

We made an inspection of the site of the accident and also part of the workings of 'B' incline in which the accident occurred, on the 4th January 1954. On that day we also heard the evidence of, and examined, some of the witnesses at the site of the accident so that they could clearly explain where they were at the time of the accident or indicate conditions prior to and after the accident. We recorded the evidence of workmen and subordinate supervisory staff at the mine on the 4th and 5th January 1954, and the evidence of the manager, the Inspector of Mines, No. 3 Circle, and two Junior Inspectors of Mines at Chirimiri on the 6th January, 1954. We cross-examined some of the witnesses again at the mine on the 7th January 1954. We completed our inquiry on the 8th January, 1954 and now submit the following report:

A list of the witnesses examined by us is given in Appendix I, and a list of the persons involved in the accident is to be found in Appendix II. In PLAN No. 1, attached hereto, the site of the accident is illustrated by a plan and sections together with a key plan of the part of the mine under consideration.

*Not printed.

The colliery is owned by the Central India Coalfields Limited and is situated seven miles to the west of Chirimiri Railway Station in the Baikunthapur Sub-Division, Surguja District, Madhya Pradesh. It is connected by rough forest tracks to Manindragarh and Chirimiri Railway Stations and is inaccessible by road during the monsoon or wet weather.

II. MANAGEMENT.

The mine owners appointed Shri Vidhu Sekhar of No. 8 Royal Exchange Place, Calcutta, under Section 76 of the Mines Act, 1952 as their representative. Shri S. R. Sabhlok, A.R.S.M., holder of a First Class Colliery Manager's Certificate of Competency (No. 278) has been the manager of the mine since 1948. He has had experience in the management of coal mines for a period of about 12 years. He is assisted by the supervisory staff as shown in Appendix III.

III. DESCRIPTION OF THE MINE.

The colliery lease-hold embraces an area of 1.74 square miles of which only about 40 acres have been exploited. In it two seams have been worked, the top seam, 33 feet thick, is separated from the bottom seam, 5 feet thick, by about 170 feet of non-coal bearing strata, mainly consisting of sandstone. Both seams have been developed on the "pillar and stall" method from inclines on the slope of a hill and, upto the present, no pillars have been extracted. From the main seam, 33 feet thick the entire production of the colliery was obtained until 1952, when development of the thin 5 feet seam was commenced. The output of the colliery averages about 8,000 tons per month. As the accident under consideration occurred in the 5 feet seam no further reference will be made to the main seam mine. The 5 feet seam is served by three inclines called 'A', 'B' and 'C' inclines which are interconnected at the 1st and 2nd levels below which there is a barrier of coal about 70 feet thick between the workings of 'B' incline and those of 'A' and 'C' inclines. The seam dips at 1 in 7½ in the direction N-74° W. It is overlain by massive light grey sandstone and between the top of the seam and the sandstone there is bed of dark grey carbonaceous shale varying in thickness, but usually about 5 inches thick. This bed of shale readily separates from the main sandstone roof as there is a smooth surface at the junction of the two beds. The workings are laid out with pillars at about 60 feet centres and galleries are 11 to 14 feet wide. The galleries have been advanced with the aid of coal-cutting machines and gelignite, a non-permitted explosive, has been used for breaking down the undercut coal as no inflammable gas has ever been encountered in the mine. The seam, particularly in 'B' incline, is disturbed by small faults most of which are almost parallel to the direction of dip of the seam, and it is known from the working of the main seam that there is a fault with a throw of about 125 feet a short distance in front of the dipmost galleries. The transport of coal to the surface is by direct rope haulages provided in 'A' and 'B' inclines. Ventilation of the thin seam workings is by natural means.

IV. SUPERVISION.

The manager is assisted by one head overman, Shri Daulat Singh, and three sirdars, Srijuts Madan, Lalji and S. Chatterjee, all of whom are the holders of Sirdars' Certificates. The sirdars carry out blasting operations in addition to their normal duties, but are assisted by explosive carriers.

V. EVENTS PRIOR TO THE ACCIDENT.

The mine is worked in three shifts, each of 8 hours duration, the day shift commencing at 7-0 A.M. The accident occurred in No. 6 rise and dip galleries off No. 9 level in 'B' incline at about 1-30 P.M. on the 15th May 1953. No. 6 rise gallery off No. 9 level was started about a week prior to the day of accident and the face had been advanced a distance of approximately 24 feet from the centre line of the level. No. 6 dip gallery face had also been advanced about the same distance. Both faces were advanced with the aid of a coal-cutting machine, and gelignite and electric detonators were used for breaking down the undercut coal. Work in the rise gallery was suspended three or four days prior to the day of accident, probably work was suspended in it from the end of the previous week. The cause of suspension of work in this gallery will be discussed later in this report. After suspending work in the rise gallery No. 6 dip gallery off No. 9 level was started during the week in which the accident occurred and three or four cuts were made in it with the machine. On the 14th May 1953, the day prior to the day of the accident, the manager inspected the workings in the 5 feet seam and he gave orders to the Senior Overman, Shri Daulat Singh, and the

Sirdar, Shri Madan, to secure the roof of No. 6 rise gallery with timber. On that day, however, no timber was set in the rise gallery because the gang of timbermen, the only one employed in the 5 feet seam workings, was already engaged on setting timber in 'A' incline workings. There were no timbermen employed in these workings in the afternoon and night shifts. At about 7-0 A.M. on the 15th May, 1953, Sirdar Madan instructed the gang of three timbermen to set two cross-bars on props in No. 6 rise gallery off No. 9 level. Later in the morning, probably at about 9-0 A.M., the Senior Overman, Shri Daulat Singh and Shri Madan showed the timbermen on the spot where the cross-bars were to be set. No other work was supposed to have been done in the rise gallery although Budhan Ram, a timber mazdoor, states in his evidence that two coal-loaders removed four or five baskets of loose coal from that gallery while his gang was present. At the face of the dip gallery some loose coal had been left by the previous night shift and two coal loaders were engaged for several hours at the beginning of the shift in clearing this face. Then a machine driver, Shri Bhim Sen and his three mazdoors, who had previously undercut a gallery with a coal-cutting machine in 'A' incline, made an undercut with another machine in No. 6 dip gallery. After completing this undercut they withdrew the machine to No. 9 level. Three shot-holes were then drilled in the face and charged with gelignite. There is some doubt as to who charged the shot-holes. The Sirdar, Shri Madan, who was authorised by the manager to fire shots in addition to his duties as a sirdar, resigned his post towards the end of July, 1953, and was not available to give evidence during the course of the inquiry. The authorised explosive carrier, Jenab Aziz Khan made one deposition to the effect that the Sirdar was present when the shots were charged and fired and later he made another statement to the effect that he himself charged the three holes and fired them in the absence of the Sirdar. His evidence is very unreliable and we have decided to regard it as valueless. We consider that the deposition of the timberman, Shri Budhan Ram, (Gond) who was present in or close to No. 6 rise and dip galleries at the time of the accident is more reliable. He deposed that Sirdar Madan was present when the three shots were charged and fired in No. 6 dip and that some fifteen minutes after blasting he, Sirdar Madan and Aziz Khan went together to No. 6 dip gallery and examined the roof before the timbermen, shale removers and coal loaders were re-admitted. Shri Budhan Ram also stated that he was quite sure that work had been in progress for more than an hour before the roof collapsed.

VI. THE ACCIDENT

There were five shale removers whose duty it was to remove a band of shale, about 6 inches thick, which occurred between the top of the seam and the main sandstone roof and which was blasted down with the undercut coal. These men stacked the shale at the sides of the galleries. At the time of the accident, three timbermen, five shale removers and two coal loaders were at work in No. 6 rise and dip galleries off No. 9 level. The fall of roof extended from the face of No. 6 dip gallery to within a distance of two or three feet from the face of the rise gallery. The fall extended over a distance of about 40 feet, it was almost the full width of the gallery and was a maximum of 4 feet thick on the centre line of the gallery. The thickness of the sandstone which collapsed decreased from No. 9 level towards the dip and rise faces. Two timbermen, four shale removers and two coal loaders were caught by falling mass of sandstone. With the exception of Shri Puran Singh, who was partially buried and sustained severe injuries from which he died some hours later, these workers were buried and killed outright. In addition, one shale remover, Ram Lautan sustained serious injuries from which he has since recovered. One of the three timbermen, Budhan Ram, appears to have had a miraculous escape as he was grazed by the falling stone, but was not injured. It is evident that the mass of roof-stone fell without warning, or with so little warning, that there was not sufficient time for the workers to move less than 25 feet to places unaffected by the fall of roof.

VII. DETAILED OBSERVATIONS MADE IN THE MINE

We all inspected the site of the accident on the 4th and 7th January, 1954, in addition to which the Chief Inspector of Mines made a second inspection on the 7th January. During the course of these inspections parts of the mine in the vicinity of the place of accident were also inspected. From Plan* 1, attached hereto, it is to be observed that the dip of the 5 feet seam is 1 in 7.4 in a direction N-47° W. Proceeding down 'B' incline we observed a fault which displaced the seam to an extent of about 5 feet, the throw being towards the west. Continuing down 'B' incline we observed several minor displacements of a few inches. In No. 7 level, from 'B' incline to No. 5 dip four or five minor displacements from one inch up to about 4 inches were seen crossing the level

in a direction approximating to the full dip of the seam. At No. 8 level, there was a pronounced fault with a displacement of about 18 inches crossing 'B' incline at an acute angle, the same fault being observed later some six feet inside No. 9 level, where the throw of the fault had increased to three feet. In No. 8 level, between 'B' incline and No. 5 dip, the seam and the roof were more disturbed than in No. 7 level as there was a series of not less than a dozen small faults with throws towards the west from one inch up to about six inches. Also in No. 7 level we observed 'slips' passing through the seam into the roof; these 'slips' passing through the seam into the roof; these 'slips' did not displace the seam vertically. In No. 9 level, between 'B' incline and No. 5 dip, there was a pronounced fault, previously referred to, with a throw of about 3 feet, some six feet from the west side of 'B' incline and the same series of small faults and slips as had been observed in No. 8 level was present, the small faults being more pronounced. In order to make a detailed examination of the face of No. 6 rise gallery some loose coal and debris had to be cleared. Some two feet from the west side of the face there was a fault with a throw of four inches, in the centre of the face there was another fault with a throw of six inches and at the east side there was a slickensided 'slip' along which the gallery had been driven, the slip face forming the side of the gallery. The coal in the face was contorted and crushed and it was difficult to follow the bedding planes in the seam. At the east side of the face, about 15 inches above the floor there was a thin band of white clay which appeared to have been formed by infiltration. Two sections across the gallery are given in PLAN* No. 1. The fall of sandstone which caused the accident had exposed several fossils and fairly large irregular areas of vitreous coal upto one quarter of an inch thick. Black polished surfaces enclosed in the sandstone at various distances above the coal seam were also observed. These polished surfaces within the sandstone had constituted planes of weakness and they, coupled with the small faults and slips previously mentioned, were the cause of the collapse of roof. The black polished surfaces could not, of course, be seen before the fall had taken place, but the small faults and slips would be visible in the roof before the occurrence. The irregularities in the roof were not so pronounced in No. 6 dip gallery as in the rise gallery. We also saw three props in the rise gallery two of which were still standing where they had been set under a cross-bar.

VIII. AVAILABILITY OF TIMBER FOR SUPPORTING THE ROOF

From the depositions of the persons examined by us we are satisfied that there was no shortage of timber for supporting the roof of the mine and that there was a good stock of timber underground in 'B' incline. Even if timber had not been stored underground the distance from the place of accident to the surface was only 750 feet. As the mine is situated in a Government Reserve forest where good Sal timber is readily available it is not likely that there would be any shortage of timber on the surface of the mine.

IX. CONTRAVENTION OF REGULATIONS

The only definite contraventions of the regulations made under the Mines Act that have come to our notice where contraventions of regulation 108(2) Regulation 108 of the Indian Coal Mines Regulations, 1926 reads as follows:—

- (1) No shot shall be stemmed or fired except by or under the personal supervision of a competent person appointed by the manager by order in writing to be a shot-firer.
- (2) In any mine in which more than 50 persons are employed underground at any one time, no person shall be so appointed or shall perform the duties of a shot-firer who is responsible for making inspections under sub-regulation (2) of regulation 70.
- (3) With effect from the 1st day of April, 1940; no person shall be appointed as a shot-firer under sub-regulation (1) unless he holds either a shot-firer's certificate or a sirdar's certificate or a manager's certificate granted under these regulations.

The sirdars in the 5 feet seam workings from 'A' and 'B' inclines were appointed by the manager to perform the duties of shot-firer in addition to their duties under regulation 70 and from the attendance registers it has been ascertained that in the first shift from the 1st January 1953 to the 9th May 1953 more than 50 persons were employed in 'A' and 'B' inclines on 25 different dates. On four consecutive days from the 6th May 1953 to the 9th May 1953, the number of persons employed in the day shift varied from 56 to 62 persons. The highest number employed in one shift during this period was 65 on the 3rd March 1953. It is clear, therefore, that the sirdars were performing duties

*Not printed.

additional to those imposed on them by regulation 70 in contravention of regulation 108 which would reduce the time available to them for their more important duties of examination of roof and sides of the workings and the supervision of roof support operations. While it is doubtful if this contravention of the regulations had any bearing on the accident, we have to observe that it would detract from a careful and efficient examination of the roof throughout the mine.

X. DISCUSSIONS OF THE EVIDENCE.

During the course of the inquiry we made every effort to ascertain from the witnesses, particularly the supervisory staff, whether the possibility of an accident due to roof fall had been foreseen and whether adequate precautions had been taken to avert it. Over this very important question, the main witnesses have given a mass of contradictory evidence.

According to the Manager, the working of No. 6 rise gallery off No. 9 level had been abandoned some five days prior to the date of accident because he found that the size of the pillar would not have been 50 ft. square, as required by regulation No. 77. He has also stated that except for a little roughness of the roof near the working face of No. 6 rise, he did not notice any faults or slips in that gallery although he had stated before the Junior Inspector of Mines, who conducted the departmental enquiry, that he had noticed a slip plane in this gallery on the day previous to the accident. If these statements were correct, it is surprising that he should have ordered timbering to support the roof in a gallery where no apparent defect was noticeable in the roof and it had earlier been decided to abandon working this rise gallery; it would have been more reasonable to have fenced off the rise gallery to prevent access. While the Overman and the Sirdars have supported the Manager in stating that there was no fencing across No. 6 rise gallery and the timber was being erected merely as a precautionary measure and not in view of any immediate apprehension of danger by roof collapse, other witnesses have emphatically stated that this gallery had been fenced off. In view of these contradictions, we have had to rely on our own observations at the scene of the accident and inferences from circumstantial evidence. We are of opinion that the Mine Manager and the Sirdars must have been aware of the minor faults and other disturbances which were noticeable in the face of No. 6 rise gallery and which must have previously been observed in No. 8 level, and the danger from roof fall which would arise if the gallery was driven any further along the fault plane. It must, however, be pointed out that minor faults and disturbances in the roof are a common occurrence in this mine and it is very likely that the faults in the roof strata were not clearly exposed. In the result, we agree with the consensus of opinion amongst the witnesses that though the supervising staff were aware of the minor disturbances in the roof of No. 6 rise gallery, and they had wisely refrained from further advancing the face of that gallery, they were not aware of the imminence and magnitude of the danger. As for the working of No. 6 dip gallery, it is evident that the continuance of the disturbances from the rise gallery into the dip gallery had not been apprehended by the supervising staff as the faults had not been clearly exposed. The timberman, Shri Budhan Ram, clearly stated that the roof of the dip gallery was less uneven than that of the rise gallery and he did not apprehend any danger of roof collapse in that gallery.

XI. CONCLUSIONS.

In consideration of the foregoing facts and allowing for human failings and having regard to the average efficiency of the supervising staff in Indian Coal Mines, we are satisfied that the accident was the result of an error of judgment in driving No. 6 rise and dip galleries in highly disturbed ground and parallel to the general line of the faults, and in apprehending the danger, which resulted in adequate precautions against roof-fall not being taken. Beyond this general observation, we have been unable, from the evidence on record, to affix the responsibility for the disaster on any particular individual. We would, however, like to draw the attention of the Management towards the need for the exercise of personal and more careful supervision on the part of the Manager and the Senior Overman over the day-to-day progress in the working of this mine. We would also like the Manager to give more detailed instructions in regard to adequate timbering and the manner of erecting of props at places where disturbances in the roof strata are visible, and to learn to anticipate disturbances in the roof strata from indications met with in workings nearby. We would also except him to show greater interest in seeing that his subordinates carry out his orders effectively.

THE GAZETTE OF INDIA
It transpired during the course of the inquiry that although there was no agency involving serious risk to the safety of the Mine or of persons employed therein, the Sirdars in charge of the relays were being employed to fire shots even though more than 50 persons were employed in the mine at any one time. This is a contravention of regulation 108; we would, however, observe that the excess of the number of persons underground over the regulated limit of 50, is very small.

We have considered the possibility of prosecuting the Manager for contravention of regulation 67, which reads as follows:—

"The roof and sides of working places and travelling roads, including airways and travelling roads to second outlets, shall be made and kept secure."

As we have discussed earlier, most of the witnesses have consistently stated that the danger from the roof was not anticipated. Under these circumstances, we are of opinion that prosecution of the Manager for contravention of regulation 67 would not succeed.

Finally, we have indicated earlier that the evidence recorded by us does not admit of a clear finding regarding the responsibility of the Management for this accident. In view of this finding, we are of opinion that the provisions of rule 10 of the rules framed by the Government of Central Provinces and Berar (now Madhya Pradesh) under section 30 of the Indian Mines Act, 1923, regarding the liability of the Agent or the Manager of the Mine to bear the expenses of the inquiry, are not attracted. We do not, therefore, deem it necessary to pass any order relating to costs.

In conclusion, we wish to acknowledge our indebtedness in this inquiry to the careful and thorough exposition of the technical aspects of the case by Mr. Barraclough, Chief Inspector of Mines in India. Shriyuts R. L. Malviya and S. Dhadha, while generally agreeing with the findings of the Court, desire to express their views on certain matters, which are contained in their notes appended hereto.

(Sd.) N. SUNDARAM, *Chairman,*
Court of Inquiry.

(Sd.) N. MARRACLOUGH,
Assessor.

(Sd.) R. L. MAVIYA,
Assessor.

(Sd.) M. S. DHADHA,
Assessor.

Enclosures:—

- (1) Note by Shri R. L. Malviya, Assessor.
- (2) Note by Shri M. S. Dhadha, Assessor.
- (3) Appendix I—List of witnesses examined by the Court.
- (4) Appendix II—List of persons involved in the accident.
- (5) Appendix III—List of underground Supervisory Staff of the Pure Chirmiri (Sajapahar) Colliery.

*(6) Plan No. 1.

Additional note recorded by Shri R. L. Malviya, Assessor, to the report of the Court of Inquiry into the accident at Pure Chirmiri (Sajapahar) Colliery.

While I am in general agreement with the finding of the Court that the accident was due to an error of judgment over a technical matter by the Manager, I do feel that there has certainly been a degree of negligence on the part of the Manager, and the Senior Overman, which should not go unpunished. This is particularly so in view of several previous fatal accidents due to roof fall that have occurred in the Pure Chirmiri Colliery. It is clear that the Manager apprehended danger over the fall of roof in No. 6 rise gallery and in view of the previous accidents in the colliery, I feel it was his duty to have suspended work completely in No. 6 rise as well as dip galleries. He should not have allowed any shots to be fired in the dip gallery until such time as the whole area had been adequately and properly timbered to his personal satisfaction. In addition to the Manager, I also feel that it was equally the responsibility

Not printed.

of the Senior Overman, Daulat Singh, to have personally ensured that work was stopped in the dip gallery till the place was adequately secured.

In view of the foregoing facts, I would recommend to Government that action should be taken by the Central Government against the Manager under the provisions of regular 48 of the Indian Coal Mines Regulations. I would, however, like to make it clear that my only intention is that his negligence in duties should be brought home to the Manager; as a result, my recommendation is that his First Class Colliery Manager's certificate should be suspended for a period of 6 months. Similarly I feel that the Sirdar's certificate of Senior Overman, Daulat Singh, should be suspended for a period of 6 months.

(Sd.) R. L. MALVIYA,

Additional note, recorded by Shri M. S. Phadha Assessor, to the report of the Court of Inquiry into the accident at Pure Chirmiri (Sajapahar) Colliery.

On going through the evidence and having seen the site of the accident, I am of the opinion that minor violation of Regulation 108(2) made inadvertently has absolutely nothing to do with the cause of accident specially when Senior Overman and the Shift Sardar checked the roof themselves and were satisfied with the soundness of the roof on the day of accident.

I feel the underground supervisory staff who were qualified persons did their best according to their ability what they could do in checking the roof but the disturbed nature of the roof hidden inside above could not be detected by them.

Owners and the Managements as such cannot be held responsible in any way whatsoever for this accident. Therefore I am of the opinion that the cost of enquiry should be borne by the Government.

I am also of the opinion that there is no ground to proceed against anybody any further in view of the evidence recorded.

The 12th January, 1954.

(Sd.) M. S. DHADHA,
Assessor.

APPENDIX I

List of Witnesses examined by the Court of Inquiry.

1. Budhan Ram, Timber Coolie.
2. Aziz Khan, Explosives Carrier.
3. Bhimsen, Machine Driver.
4. Sadananda Chatterjee, Sirdar.
5. Lalji Kurmi, Sirdar.
6. Dr. K. C. Maitra, Medical Officer.
7. Daulat Singh, Senior Overman.
8. Shri Shiba Ram Sabhlok, Manager.
9. Shri M. P. Roy, Junior Inspector of Mines.
10. Shri D. Chatterjee, Inspector of Mines.
11. Shri S. Sankaran, Junior Inspector of Mines.

APPENDIX II

List of persons involved in the accident.

S. No.	Name	Nature of Injuries	Remarks
1.	Ram Lotan	Lightly Injured	Fully cured.
2.	Puran Singh	Killed	
3.	Nan	Killed	
4.	Sukhran	Killed	
5.	Rup Narain	Killed	
6.	Doosai	Killed	
7.	Bonsai	Killed	
8.	Jaikaran	Killed	
9.	Chhotelal	Killed	

APPENDIX III

List of underground Supervisory Staff of the Pure Chirimiri (Sajapahar) Colliery in the month of May 1953.

Shri S. R. Sabhlok Manager.

Thin (5 foot) Seam

1. Shri Daulat Singh Senior Overman.
2. Shri Sadananda Chatterjee Sirdar & Shot-firer.
3. Shri Lalji Kurmi do.
4. Shri Ramtenke Madan do.

Main (33 feet) Seam

1. Shri H. N. Mukherjee Senior Overman
2. Shri T.P. Sinha Overman
3. Shri Ziarat Shah Khan do.
4. Shri Abani Das do.

Shot-firers

1. Shri J. K. Mitra Shot-firer & Sirdar
2. Shri Makund do.
3. Shri Satish Ch. Chakravorty do.

Sparo

1. Shri Mohammad Yunus Sirdar & Shot-firer.
2. Shri Jaitram -do-

Asst. Supervisors

1. Shri Babban Prasad.
2. Shri Shiv Raj Prasad
3. Shri Mohammad Yashin .
4. Shri Shridhar Singh
5. Shri Ramlal. .

[No. M-45 (4)/53.]

New Delhi, the 21st June 1954

CORRIGENDUM

S.R.O. 2086.—In the notification of the Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 1532, dated the 4th May, 1954, published at pages 971—979 in Part II, Section 3 of the Gazette of India, dated the 8th May 1954, the following corrections shall be made, namely:—

(i) For the First Schedule appearing on page 975, substitute the Schedule appended hereto;

(ii) On top of the words "Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund Office Establishment Contributory Provident Fund", appearing in first line on page 978, add the words "Third Schedule".

"FIRST SCHEDULE [see rule 5(3)].

Forms of Nomination.

I. When the subscriber has a family and wishes to nominate one member thereof.

I hereby nominate the person mentioned below, who is a member of my family as defined in rule 2 of the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Office Establishment (Contributory Provident Fund) Rules, 1950, to receive the amount that may stand to my credit in the Fund, in the event of my death before that amount has become payable or having become payable, has not been paid:—

Name and address of the nominee	Relationship with subscriber	Age	Contingencies on the happening of which the nomination shall become invalid	Name, address and relationship of the person, if any, to whom the right of the nominee shall pass in the event of his predeceasing the subscriber
---------------------------------	------------------------------	-----	---	---

Dated this day of, 19
at

Signature of Subscriber.....

Two witnesses to signature.

1.....

2.....

II. When the subscriber has a family and wishes to nominate more than one member thereof.

I, hereby nominate the persons mentioned below, who are members of my family as defined in rule 2 of the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Office Establishment (Contributory Provident Fund) Rules, 1950, to receive the amount that may stand to my credit in the Fund, in the event of my death before that amount has become payable, or having become payable has not been paid and direct that the said amount shall be distributed among the said persons in the manner shown below against their names:—

Name and address of nominees	Relationship with subscriber	Age	*Amount or share of accumulations to be paid to each	Contingencies on the happening of which the nomination shall become invalid	Name, address and relationship of the person if any to whom the right of the nominee shall pass in the event of his predeceasing the subscriber
------------------------------	------------------------------	-----	--	---	---

*Note.—This column should be filled in so as to cover the whole amount that may stand to the credit of the subscriber in the Fund at any time.

Dated this day of, 19
at

Signature of Subscriber.....

Two witnesses to signature.

1.....

2.....

III. When the subscriber has no family and wishes to nominate one person.

I, having no family as defined in rule 2 of the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Office Establishment (Contributory Provident Fund) Rules, 1950, hereby nominate the person mentioned below to receive the amount that may stand to my credit in the Fund, in the event of my death before the amount has become payable, or having become payable has not been paid:—

Name and address of the nominees	Relationship with subscriber	Age	*Contingencies on the happening of which the nomination shall become invalid	Name, address and relationship of the person if any, to whom the right of the nominee shall pass in the event of his predeceasing the subscriber
----------------------------------	------------------------------	-----	--	--

Dated this day of, 19
at

Signature of Subscriber.....

Two witnesses to signature.

1.....

2.....

*Where a subscriber who has no family makes a nomination, he shall specify in the column that the nomination becomes invalid in the event of his subsequently acquiring a family.

IV. When the subscriber has no family and wishes to nominate more than one person.

I, having no family as defined in rule 2 of the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Office Establishment (Contributory Provident Fund) Rules, 1950, hereby nominate the person mentioned below to receive the amount that may stand to my credit in the Fund, in the event of my death before that amount has become payable, or having become payable has not been paid and direct that the said amount shall be distributed among the said persons in the manner shown below against their names:—

Name and address of nominees	Relationship with subscriber	Age	†Amount or share of accumulation to be paid to each	‡Contingencies on the happening of which the nomination shall become invalid	Name, address and relationship of the persons if any to whom the right of the nominee shall pass in the event of his predeceasing the subscriber
------------------------------	------------------------------	-----	---	--	--

Dated this day of, 19
at

Signature of Subscriber.....

Two witnesses to signature.

1.....

2.....

†Note.—This column should be filled in so as to cover the whole amount that may stand to the credit of the subscriber in the Fund at any time.

‡Note.—Where a subscriber who has no family makes a nomination, he shall specify in this column that the nomination shall become invalid in the event of his subsequently acquiring a family”.

[No. M-21(2)52.]

S.R.O. 2087.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (XI of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints an Advisory Committee consisting of the following members to advise the Central Government in making revision of minimum rates of wages fixed under the said Act in respect of the scheduled employments carried on by or under the authority of the Central Government:—

(1) Independent member

1. Shri P. M. Sundaram, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, *Chairman*.

(2) Representatives of employers

1. Shri T. S. Parasuraman, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Transport, New Delhi.
2. Shri C. K. Nair, Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.
3. Shri S. P. Saksena, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply, New Delhi.
4. Shri R. R. M. Tandon, Deputy Chief Engineer (Headquarters), Northern Railway, New Delhi.

(3) Representatives of employees

1. Dr. S. L. Kashikar, General Secretary, Indian National Trade Union Congress, Madhya Pradesh Branch, Model Mill Road, Nagpur.
2. Shri V. D. Chopra, Vice-President, Punjab, Patiala and East Punjab States Union and Himachal Pradesh Committee of All India Trade Union Congress, Dilkusha Building, G.T. Road, Jullundur.
3. Shri Ram Roy, General Secretary of the Bihar State Committee, United Trade Union Congress, Bharati Press Buildings, Mithapur, Patna-1.
4. Shri K. R. Abbiah, Secretary, Hyderabad State Mazdoor Sangh, Opposite Old Jail, Market Street, Secunderabad.

[No. LWI-2(25)54.]

S.R.O. 2088.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 7 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (XI of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints an Advisory Board consisting of the following members for the purpose of coordinating the work of Committees, Advisory Committees and Advisory Sub-Committees appointed under sections 5 and 6 of the said Act and for advising the Central Government generally in the matter of fixing and revising minimum rates of wages in respect of the scheduled employments carried on by or under the authority of the Central Government:—

(1) Independent members

1. Dr. S. T. Merani, I.A.S., Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, *Chairman*.
2. Shri N. K. Adyanthaya, Director, Labour Bureau, Simla.

(2) Representatives of employers

1. Shri T. S. Parasuraman, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Transport, New Delhi.
2. Shri K. C. Jain, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.
3. Shri S. P. Saksena, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply, New Delhi.

4. Dr. S. R. Sen, M.A., Ph.D. (London), Economic and Statistical Adviser and *Ex-officio* Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Food and Agriculture, New Delhi.
 5. Shri G. P. Shahani, Joint Director, Civil Engineering, Railway Board, New Delhi.
- (3) Representatives of employees
1. Shri G. Ramanujam, General Secretary, Indian National Trade Union Congress, Tamil Nad Branch, Indian National Trade Union Congress, Camp Office, Trichy Road, Ramanathapuram, Coimbatore.
 2. Shri V. G. Gopal, M.P., Tata Iron and Steel Workers Union, 17-K, Road, Jamshedpur.
 3. Shri Bhalchandra Menon, General Secretary, Malabar Trade Union Council of the All India Trade Union Congress, Court Road, Kozhikode, Malabar.
 4. Shri Dinkar Desai, Servants of India Society, Sardar Vallabhbhai Road, Girgaum, Bombay-4.
 5. Shri Jatin Chakravarty, Secretary, United Trade Union Congress, 46-South End Park, Calcutta-29.

[No. LWI-2(26)/54.]

S.R.O. 2089.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 5 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (XI of 1948), the Central Government hereby appoints a Committee consisting of the following members to hold enquiries and advise the Central Government regarding fixation of minimum rates of wages for the first time under the said Act in respect of the scheduled employments carried on by or under the authority of the Central Government included in Part I of the Schedule to the said Act.

(1) Independent Member

1. Shri P. M. Sundaram, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, New Delhi, *Chairman*.

(2) Representatives of employers

1. Shri T. S. Parasuraman, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Transport, New Delhi.
2. Shri C. K. Nair, Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.
3. Shri S. P. Saksena, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply, New Delhi.
4. Shri R. R. M. Tandon, Deputy Chief Engineer (Headquarters), Northern Railway, New Delhi.

(3) Representatives of employees

1. Shri Nirmal Kumar Sen, Working President, INTUC, Bengal Branch, 35/B, Wellington Street, Calcutta
2. Shri Indrajit Gupta, General Secretary, Bengal Chatkal Mazdoor Union, 249, Bowbazar Street, Calcutta-12
3. Shri Biswanath Dubey, Member of the Presidium, United Trade Union Congress, 64, Chittaranjan Avenue, Calcutta-12.
4. Shri Bagaram Tulpule, President, Chemical Mazdoor Sabha, 13, Meglia Bhuvan, Dr. Ghanti Road, Dadar, Parsi Colony, Bombay 14.

[No. LWI.2(27)/54.]

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1954

S.R.O. 2090.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 4 of the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund Act, 1946 (XXII of 1946) read with sub-rule (3) of rule 3 of the Mica Mines Labour Welfare Fund Rules, 1948 the Central Government hereby directs that the following amendment may be made in the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour No. S.R.O. 247, dated the 30th January 1952:—

In the said notification for entry (4) the following entry may be substituted namely,—

“(4) Shri Bansilal Chowdhary.”

[No. M-23(4)52.]

A. P. VEERA RAGHAVAN, Under Secy.

New Delhi, the 18th June 1954

S.R.O. 2091.—Whereas immediately before the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (XIX of 1952) became applicable to the factory of M/S A. J. Main & Co. Ltd., located at 151, Andul Road, Shalimar, Howrah, there was in existence a provident fund common to the employees employed in the said factory to which the said Act applies and employees employed in the Head Office of the said factory, situated at 16, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta—1:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (XIX of 1952), the Central Government hereby directs that the provisions of the said Act shall with effect from the 6th October, 1952, also apply to the Head Office of the said factory situated at 16, Netaji Subhas Road, Calcutta—1.

[No. PF.504(3/A)6]

New Delhi, the 22nd June 1954

S.R.O. 2092.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 13 of the Employees' Provident Funds Act, 1952 (XIX of 1952), and in pursuance of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, No. PF-516(13) dated the 10th June 1953, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri T. C. Patnaik, Labour Officer (Headquarters) to be an Inspector for the whole of the State of Orissa for the purposes of the said Act and of any Scheme made thereunder in relation to factories engaged in a controlled industry or in an industry connected with a mine or an oilfield.

[No. PF-516(13)]

TEJA SINGH SAHNI, Under Secy